UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,996	02/02/2006	Takuya Fukuda	040302-0541	9854
	7590 01/23/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW	WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL		
WASHINGTO!			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,996	FUKUDA, TAKUYA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN D. WALTERS	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9 Jan</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,8 and 12 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	· election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2006</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Multiple (5).	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 5, 7, 9 - 11 and 13 have been examined. Claims 6, 8 and 12 have been withdrawn by Applicant via election restriction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the front motor mounts" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 9 - 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (6,494,286). Shimizu discloses a vehicle comprising:

A drive motor unit (Fig. 1, item 1);

Art Unit: 3618

 a front part of said drive motor unit being attached to a vehicle body member via a front motor mount (Fig. 1, item 3);

- a rigid, robust member, i.e. air compressor, disposed in front of and obliquely above said drive motor unit and above and in front of said front motor mount (Figs. 1 and 2, item 10);
- said rigid, robust member being mounted to said drive motor in a state of being vibration isolated (column 2, lines 16 - 24);
- a rear part of said drive motor unit being attached to said vehicle body member via a rear motor mount disposed above a front motor mount (Figs. 1 and 4, item 4);
- said rear motor mount being attached to a stay extending upward from a rear part of said suspension member (Figs. 1 and 4, item 4b);
- said front motor mount and a second front motor mount being provided on a right and left side of said drive motor unit (Fig. 1, items 3 and 5);
- said vehicle body member comprising a suspension member having a substantially h-shaped or a square frame shaped form (Fig. 1, items 6 and 6b or items 6a, 6b, 6c, 3 and 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (6,494,286). Shimizu discloses a vehicle as described above. Shimizu does not specifically disclose the composition of said air compressor nor the specifics of the strengths of said motor mounts.

In regards to claim 2, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an air compressor housing manufactured as a casting from metal, as it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a know material in the basis of its suitability for the intended use. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

In regards to claim 7, it would be within the skill and knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to set the appropriate strengths of motor mounts in response to the weight and distribution of said weight of a motor/engine assembly. This would be variable between configurations based upon what auxiliary components are mounted directly to said motor/engine, their mount locations and their weights. One of ordinary skill in the art would be required to review and possibly modify the motor mount strengths for any changes to the center of gravity of a said motor/engine assembly.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

 Watanabe (4,487,287) discloses a support system for automobile power plants;

Art Unit: 3618

Arvidsson et al. (5,133,427) disclose a vehicle engine suspension device;

- Tsuji et al. (5,372,216) disclose a power plant supporting structure;
- Green et al. (6,607,172) disclose a mounting bracket for a solenoid valve;
- Takagi et al. (6,968,915) disclose a fuel cell automobile capable of reducing vibration;
- Hirayu (7,213,671) discloses a structure for mounting an engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN D. WALTERS whose telephone number is (571)272-8269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P Ellis/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618 John D. Walters Examiner Art Unit 3618

/J. D. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618